

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/725,451	KAKUO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Patricia Leith	1655	

All Participants:

(1) Patricia Leith.

(2) Attorney Vincent Sheir.

Status of Application: Allowance

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 3 August 2006

Time: approx. 2pm EST

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

4, 8, 15, 16, 18, 25, 28 and 29

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Proposed changes to the claims in an Examiner's amendment in order to better clarify the claims. Mr. Sheir approved the changes made to the claims as well as to the title in the Examiner's amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)